FIGHTING THE SALES INFORMATION GLUT
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Not many marketing executives are totally satisfied with what they hear coming out of the mouths of their salespeople. And not many recognize where the true problem lies. With a rapidly changing marketplace, new competitive threats each month, and a blistering pace of product introductions, it takes both skills and knowledge to succeed in sales. But once the skills are in place, the knowledge requirements continue to change. In fact, salespeople may be the most overloaded knowledge workers in the company. The volume and throughput of information they must access, assimilate, and apply can be overwhelming.

There’s no doubt that a company must implement an effective, customer-focused sales process in order to succeed. Many well-packaged tactical and strategic sales models are available, and almost any one, well executed, will enable the sales force to take the right steps. But once an effective sales process is in place, one of the company’s highest strategic initiatives must be providing knowledge to the sales force that they can access, learn, and apply to support their performance. Otherwise, the company risks its return on investments in everything else, from R&D and product development to marketing communications and program management. None of that pays off if the salespeople don’t have the knowledge they need to sell the products.

In most companies, this role falls to the training team—either a centralized sales training group, a decentralized product management group, or some combination of the two. This is often called “product knowledge training” and generally covers features and benefits, key messages, and perhaps some competitive information. Such training often comes as data dumps by product experts presenting information with as many overhead slides as
they can fit into the time allowed. But to qualify prospects, talk with decision makers, formulate customer-focused value propositions, present proposals and demonstrations to tough audiences, and effectively battle competition, a sales force needs to know and be able to talk about more than what they take away from data dumps.

That’s not to say that training should be eliminated. Only that it would be better to build it on top of something else—a base of knowledge that people can access and apply when they need to, efficiently and confidently. Once provided with such a knowledge resource, an organization can implement field-based coaching and practice to be sure the knowledge is accessed and used in the field. And it can conduct more strategically focused training that leverages what’s in the knowledge base by teaching people to apply that knowledge in complex cases.

More Is Not Better

In the age of the Internet, many marketing organizations have decided that Web sites, document repositories, or online marketing encyclopedias for salespeople will solve the sales knowledge problem. Outside the firewall, countless sites on the Internet provide information about companies, industries, and business trends and issues. Inside the firewall, just about everyone has a Web site. Some large high-tech companies have more than 1,000 internal Web sites, with new ones sprouting up daily.

Many companies have developed tools to deal with the problems of information access: databases, document repositories, work flow automation, and now “knowledge management,” with search engines that are faster, smarter, and more user-friendly and powerful than ever. Users can get lists of dozens or even hundreds of documents, databases, and Web sites with a few clicks. Unfortunately, high-tech companies are drowning in their own technology. As often as not, despite dozens or hundreds of possible information sources—both online and offline—many salespeople simply call or e-mail a product marketing resource to ask for the latest overhead presentation, or simply to ask questions. Some marketing managers and executives spend 50 percent or more of their time fielding questions that they have already answered somewhere in a presentation, white paper, business plan, or internal Web site.

The CEO of one top software company became so frustrated with this problem that he personally took the lead in putting up a single internal product resource Web site, intending it to function as the sole approved source of such information. He even became involved in editing some of the text to be sure it was clear, simple, and to the point.

It’s been said that marketing is too important to delegate. Receiving the editorial attention from the CEO of a multibillion-dollar corporation may be an exceptional demonstration of the importance of getting the right information out in a single source. But the strategic intent is unmistakable: you must have a strategy by which salespeople and channels can get the knowledge they need, without wasting time, and with a high degree of confidence.

This is where the oft-discussed topic of knowledge management comes in. Many books, articles, and Web pages have been written about it in the last couple of years. They discuss “tacit” and “explicit” knowledge, learning organizations, and other concepts. They also look at software technologies that allow collaboration, communication, and the creation of knowledge repositories. Your company may even have a product positioned as a form of this supposedly new, somewhat mysterious, but apparently powerful solution set. Nonetheless, there is seldom mention of performance, as such, or suggestions for how to connect knowledge to performance. Mostly, the knowledge management literature is about accumulation of content.

Divorced from performance requirements, content alone is merely the fuel for information overload, which stifles rather than improves performance. Every decision in a process designed to provide knowledge to the
field must be founded on an understanding of what it takes to support specific performance. This starts from requirements analysis and continues through design and implementation of knowledge maintenance processes.

**Building a Knowledge Architecture**

To determine what information, in what sequence and format, salespeople need to optimize their performance, begin with a model of their process: the major milestones, job outputs, and tasks they have to produce in order to close a deal. Then identify what knowledge they need to execute each step of the process, what they must know and what they simply must be able to access. This model is known as a *knowledge architecture*, and it has the following attributes:

- a “knowledge classification scheme”—words and phrases for labeling topics and subtopics of information salespeople need to access or know in order to be effective (company and product goals; markets served; types of customers, products, and competition);

- best sequences of those topics, since the best sequence for learning is not often the same sequence in which it is applied;

- links, cross-references, or other relationships between chunks of information needed to support understanding and subsequent performance (types of decision makers within market segments, linked to typical problems and needs the products can address); and

- page formats and logical arrangements or templates that best serve performance (tables that list customers’ needs and the features of products that address those needs, or decision tables for sales tactics).

And again, information about products is simply not enough. So-called “product knowledge” is only useful when linked to customers’ problems, needs, or opportunities. To be effective, salespeople need to understand their customers’ businesses and the trends and issues that drive them. They need to communicate a vision of where things are going and how the company is going to help customers get there. They must understand customers’ needs, how the products address those needs, and how competitors’ strategies and products attempt to do so. They need to know about market-specific sales strategies and tactics. And, of course, they need to be able to talk about the products at the right time in the sales cycle.

From years of consulting to Fortune 500 sales and marketing organizations, however, I can say that the single greatest complaint is that salespeople do not have enough knowledge of their markets, customers, and competition. I seldom hear that product knowledge, per se, is holding them back. So, if a CEO is focused on getting product knowledge clear and correct, he or she should put equal energy and focus into providing market and competitive knowledge that is consistent, comprehensive, clear, and easy to use.

**Leveraging a Common Language**

Once a good performance-based knowledge architecture has been established, the rest is merely technology and sweat. It provides a standard for how documents, databases, and Web sites are labeled, organized, and populated. It also provides a basis for keyword adoption that allows salespeople to select what they need rather than search for it. All forms of communication, in all directions, among those in the field and those managing the knowledge base, can begin to leverage the “common language” defined by a standard sales knowledge architecture.

Intelligence from the field, and use of this information across the company, also becomes more efficient with a knowledge architecture. Instead of sending free-form e-mails about new competitive pressures, salespeople can fill
Companies cannot afford to waste resources by requiring marketers to answer repetitive phone calls and e-mails.

out forms that prompt them to report on key subtopics. When the product marketing people receive this information, their jobs are easier because it’s organized to be consistent with the knowledge base they maintain. Similarly, updating and maintaining any knowledge base benefits from a consistent architecture, because it’s much easier to identify where information has changed.

A knowledge architecture requires “knowledge managers”—people who sort, select, reformat, and tag information as it goes into the repository. Moreover, it requires owners—people who consistently compile, publish, and continue to refine the features of the knowledge architecture over time. Once such a sales knowledge base is established, providing knowledge to the sales force will become more a process to be managed than a campaign to be continuously reinvented. All aspects of what people now consider to be “knowledge management technology”—Web sites and databases, collaboration and communication systems—become coherent, accessible, and nonredundant with implementation of a standard knowledge architecture.

What’s the role of training, once the knowledge management system is in place? Imagine training that entails prereading documents from the sales knowledge base, participating in some field-based practice with peers or managers, and then attending a brief classroom session in which salespeople apply the knowledge. Imagine that the content covered in the repository and in the classroom is labeled, sequenced, and generally organized the same. Seems obvious, but I have seen very few companies in which that basic level of consistency between reference and training exists. With such a knowledge strategy, companies can reduce the costs of training, eliminate redundancies in reference and training materials, and reduce time in the classroom.

Selling, Not Searching

If this sounds a bit like curing world hunger, it may be—if your expectation is perfection in a quarter, or even within a year. To commit to and implement a coherent knowledge strategy and architecture takes time, especially if you do it from the ground up. My colleagues and I have been developing a single sales knowledge architecture over a period of 10 years, and it’s still getting better. You can begin with templates you already have; if a knowledge architecture is anything, it is a set of templates. This could include the topics of product summaries, the structure of product business plans, and grids for displaying competitive information. You may need to revise existing templates and add new ones.

On the other hand, the potential for improvement in most companies, both in effectiveness and cost reduction, is so large that even incremental change without total reengineering can produce sizable gains. Because this is a cross-functional process, however, often involving sales, marketing, MIS, training, and other areas, it requires strong, sustained support from the most senior levels of management. Without that, sales knowledge falls into separate fiefdoms, bent on capturing mindshare for their products rather than optimizing sales revenue across the company.

Replacing many databases and Web sites with a single knowledge management system can produce savings in infrastructure and management that may well pay for the investments involved in adopting and implementing standards. Some organizations have reported salespeople saving 10 to 20 percent of their time when they can find the information they need, time now devoted to selling rather than searching for information. Others have reported savings in training budgets and significant reductions in the time product managers spend answering questions and e-mails.

Companies can no longer afford to waste valuable resources by requiring salespeople to search endlessly for the knowledge they need and marketing people to answer repetitive phone calls and e-mails. Building and supporting a coherent system for managing sales knowledge will not only provide companies with internal cost-efficiencies, but also create a stronger foundation for competitive strength and agility.